p&h



Statement of Community Involvement

July 2013

This report has been produced by URBED on behalf of William Davis, Asra Housing Group and Blueprint Regeneration to be submitted as part of the planning application for a development proposal in the Meadows.

For more information please contact: Emily Crompton emily@urbed.coop 0161 200 5500

Printing Instructions:

Please print this document double-sided on A4 portrait, recycled or FSC paper.

www.urbed.coop/projects/pitcairn-and-hobart



P&H Contents

1 > Introduction	4
2 > Consultation Strategy	6
3> Public Consultation Events	10
4 > Key Findings	12
5 > Conclusions	24
6 > Comment Responses	26
7 > Appendices	31

1 > Introduction

This report describes the consultation process that was undertaken for the Pitcairn and Hobart development in the Meadows, along with detailing the findings of the consultations. The main findings are outlined in the body of the report and a full write up of responses given is appendage at the end of the report.

Public consultation is a key part of all of URBEDs work, ensuring that the people who live and work in the redevelopment area are given an opportunity to voice their opinions on the proposed development.

Two public consultations were carried out in order to take on board the views of local residents and community members. The site is located within a residential area, and the proximity of houses to the proposed development meant it was important to engage widely with neighbours.

The purpose of this report is to demonstrate the strategy we took to engage people who live in the Meadows. It describes the advertising, publicity and resources that were used, identifies key findings from the public consultation events and summarises how the design has responded to the main comments the public made about the proposals.

Project Timeline:



Public drop-in exhibition at the One Stop Shop, 27th November 2012



Residents view initial proposals at The Embankment, 27th November 2012



Residents view revised proposals at The Embankment, 5th June 2013



2 > Consultation Strategy

Once selected by Nottingham City Council the team devised a consultation strategy for the development. This needed to ensure that residents, stakeholders and community groups would get a chance to have their say about the proposals.

This was achieved in a number of ways. Firstly we held an initial consultation exhibition displaying the proposals with which the team had won the bid. We also gathered ideas for names for the scheme at this event. Attendees were encouraged to fill in a multiple choice questionnaire on the proposals telling us what they liked and disliked about the plan.

Comments were gathered and shared with the whole team. The proposals developed over the next 6 months.

The four houses at the end of Hobart Close, which are not being demolished also received a letter informing them of the proposals. One resident had some queries and Stephen Fisher, from William Davis, visited him and took his comments on board.

The team then brought the revised proposals back for people to view before an application was submitted. People were again invited to fill out a questionnaire commenting on the revised proposals. We also presented the revised scheme at the Meadows Community Forum and we took the boards to the sheltered housing scheme near to the site, Carroll Gardens, as residents mobility was an issue to them attending the drop-in exhibitions. Residents were given 3 weeks to make comments. The team then made any final revisions which arose from these final events.

Public Exhibition November 2012

This exhibition was held on 27th November 2012, at The Meadows One Stop Shop at the Bridgeway Shopping Centre from 12 noon - 3pm. We then moved to The Embankment pub's function room from 4pm - 7pm.

Publicity:

- > A newsletter was hand delivered to 1,000 homes within the area (see appendix).
- A project blog was created using wordpress which detailed all the information about the consultation event and the proposals. It also linked to the online questionnaire. The website address is:
 - www.pitcairnandhobart.wordpress.com
- Project specific facebook and twitter pages were created to market the consultation event and provide further information on the proposals. The twitter account is:
 - https://twitter.com/PandHthemeadows
 The facebook page can be seen at:
 www.facebook.com/PandHthemeadows
- > An A3 poster was also delivered to local businesses and shops (see appendix).
- An article ran in the Nottingham Post on 23rd November 2012, promoting the scheme and inviting people to the consultation events. The full article



The initial proposal was exhibited at the end of November 2012.

can be read by following this link:

http://www.thisisnottingham.co.uk/StreetsMeadows-rejuvenated-plans-70-low-energy/story17402510-detail/story.html#axzz2XtfgwxF8

Information Resources:

- > Exhibition: An exhibition was designed for the consultation venue. This included 1 large central display of 3m x 2m, which showed the masterplan proposal in plan as well as an aerial perspective, along with illustrative diagrams and exemplar images of what was being proposed. There were also 2 drop down banners that explained what was going on and showed in more detail some of the proposed development. (See appendix).
- Questionnaire: This was made both in digital form on the website (using Survey Monkey) and on paper, allowing people to feed back their views at the event. These were collected online, at the drop in consultation and via the URBED freepost address (see appendix).
- 'Name the scheme' competition engaged residents about what the scheme should be known as. We asked for suggestions at the consultation event. A full list can be found in the key findings section.

Staffing:

The process was managed by URBED, with assistance from the client. Both exhibitions were staffed by:

- > Sangeetha Banner URBED
- > Emily Crompton URBED
- > Emily Braham ASRA Housing Group
- > Stephen Fisher William Davis
- > Ashley Walters Blueprint
- > Suzanne Coombes Nottingham City Council
- > Sophie Heffernan Hopwoods PR
- > Mike Askey Marsh Grochowski

2 > Consultation Strategy

Public Exhibition June 2013

The pre-application drop-in exhibition was held on 5th June 2013, firstly at The Meadows One Stop Shop at the Bridgeway Shopping Centre from 12.30pm - 3pm. We then moved to The Embankment pub's function room from 4pm - 7pm.

Publicity:

- Created an updated newsletter and hand delivered this to 1,000 homes within the area (for the distribution area please see appendix)
- A digital version of the newsletter was emailed to 45 people. This was through Mailchimp, which was used to manage email lists and create graphic emails with links to web resources. It also recorded how many people opened the email, clicked through to the web site etc.
- The project blog was updated with detailed information about the consultation event and the proposals. It also linked to the online questionnaire. The website address is:

www.pitcairnandhobart.wordpress.com

Project specific facebook and twitter pages were used to inform followers about this consultation event. The twitter account is:

https://twitter.com/PandHthemeadows
The facebook page can be seen at:
www.facebook.com/PandHthemeadows

> An A3 poster was also delivered to local businesses and shops (see appendix).

Information Resources:

- > Exhibition: An exhibition was designed for the consultation venue. This was 1 large central display of 3m x 2m, which showed the updated masterplan proposal in plan, along with some elevations of the house types (See appendix).
- Questionnaire: This was made both in digital form on the website (using Survey Monkey) and on paper, allowing people to feed back their views at the event. These were collected online, at the drop in consultation and via the URBED freepost address (see appendix).
- > We also asked attendees to vote for their favourite name for the scheme, from suggestions we collected at the previous event. The results can be found in the key findings section.



The initial proposal was exhibited at the start of June 2013

Staffing:

The process was managed by URBED, with assistance from the client. Both exhibitions were staffed by:

- > Sangeetha Banner URBED
- > Emily Crompton URBED
- > Mark Anslow ASRA Housing Group
- > Stephen Fisher William Davis
- > Ashley Walters Blueprint
- > Suzanne Coombes Nottingham City Council
- > Alan Parker Nottingham City Council
- > Mike Askey Marsh Grochowski

P&H 3 > Public Consultation Events

An initial public consultation exhibition was held about the proposed masterplan. This was held to gather the views from residents and members of the community. Once the plans had been revised they were once again exhibited to the public to gather more feedback.

Public Exhibition, November 2012

The first public consultation took place on the 27th November at two locations. Members of the public were invited to attend and the event was advertised on a newsletter delivered to 1000 homes in the area (for distribution area see appendix), as well as being advertised on posters displayed in shop windows.

The proposed scheme was drawn up and illustrated with explanatory diagrams to engage people in discussion about the types of housing they would prefer to see in the scheme and any issues they had with the proposals.

The initial proposal was drawn up on large display boards (see appendix) illustrating exemplar housing projects, parking, public realm, house types and tenure.

The sessions were attended by local residents, neighbours to the scheme and also residents of the Green Street development, recently completed to the east of the site, which was designed by the same architects.

There were positive and a few negative responses to the scheme. Many of the residents who were living directly adjacent to the site were unsure about the parking offer. Others were keen to see such new developments in the Meadows.

Public Exhibition, June 2013

The day's exhibitions were well attended with around 50 people dropping in over both venues. Members of the public were informed about the events by a mail drop of 1000 leaflets (for distribution area see appendix). posters were also displayed in local shops and at the consultation venues.

The revised scheme was on a main board which was illustrated with plans of each of the house types. There was also diagrams illustrating how the public realm was to be treated, along with the types of tenure and where each house type was located.

Many people asked questions which were answered by members of the client and design team. Overall the feedback on the day was positive, with the majority of people stating during discussions with the consultant team that they couldn't wait for the improvements to start, as it would help kick start regeneration in the area.

There was still some concern about the amount of parking spaces, but the design team reiterated that most of the proposal housing would have their own parking space at the fornt of their property, which allieviated some concern.

Residents making enquiries at the initial consultation events at the One Stop Shop at Bridgeway centre, 27th November 2012



Meadows Community Meeting, June 2013

The Meadows Partnership Trust is local regeneration organisation which holds a quarterly community meeting at Queen's Walk Community Centre. The June meeting was the day after we held our drop-in exhibitions. We were invited along to present the revised scheme and to give the group and residents the chance to view the proposals and ask the developers any questions about the scheme.

The event was attended by 25 members of the community, along with community representatives. We presented the exhibition material and provided questionnaires for residents to take away and post back to us. This was staffed by Stephen Fisher and Suzanne Coombes.

The residents and the community representatives felt that it would have been useful to show the sizes of the rooms on the consultation material and the team explained that this would be shown in the full planning application. Residents were interested about the house prices for the proposed for-sale units. A few residents asked why there were more units than in the previous scheme which consultants explained about how the team had to work out the viability of the scheme.

Carroll Gardens, June 2013

There is a sheltered housing scheme very close to the site. We felt it was appropriate to make a presentation to the residents living there as there were some residents with mobility issues, who may have had difficulty in attending the public drop-in exhibitions.

There were 12 residents who attended this meeting which consisted of the exhibition material being presented to the group. The event was staffed by Stephen Fisher and Suzanne Coombes. Residents made some comments concerning noise disruption from the construction work, but were in general very pleased about the development and could see the benefits for the area. They were also pleased that we had come to see them at a separate event.

Resident Consultations, June 2013

The 4 houses which are closest to the Hobart close section of the scheme were all sent personalised letters informing them about the scheme and inviting them to speak with members of the consultancy team. One resident was concerned about parking for another house on the scheme. This has been amended on the final pan to allow access to the neighbour's property.

P&H 4 > Key Findings

This section details the results of the initial public consultation event and of the pre-application consultation event. For each event we start with an overview of those people who responded and then give a more detailed analysis of the results. A full list of results and comments made can be found in the appendage.

Public Exhibition November 2012

In total there were 25 questionnaire responses collected with 19 being filled in at the events and a further 6 being filled in online or posted back to URBED's freepost address. The key findings from this initial questionnaire are set out below starting with the demographic information on the right.

There was a even split between male and female, which represents the very even split of gender in the Meadows area. A good range of ages were represented at the event. The area has a slightly higher 18-25 year-old population which was represented. Although there was a slightly higher proportion of 46-55 year olds who responded.

Over 90% of respondents lived in the area, so we can be certain that those who know the area the best have given their views and opinions on the scheme. There was also a good percentage of people responding who worked in the area, this gave us the chance to collect different perspective of the area, from people who worked here and possible had to commute into the Meadows.


It is our opinion that the events allowed a representative group of people to voice their opinions on the scheme.

Initial consultation drop-in exhibition at The One Stop Shop, 27th November 2012




Key Demographic Information


Gender




Age



Respondents who live in the area:



Respondents who work in the area:




4 > Key Findings


The majority of respondents were supportive of the initial proposals with 80% either strongly supporting or supporting the aim of creating a family-orientated sustainable neighbourhood. Comments made were in support of demolishing the old flats which were outdated and not serving the area the type of housing it needed. They also noted that in order for a community to be truly sustainable it must be mixed and some did want to see 1 bedroom properties to enable single people to get on the housing ladder. There was also a comment about the availability of school places in the area.

The layout of the housing was mostly supported with 60% respondents thinking the layout was in-keeping with the area or somewhat in-keeping. There were several comments about the housing being crammed in and others about how the houses should be semi-detached like those on Wilford Crescent West. People also mentioned the need for a local bus link and the possibility of linking Pitcairn with Sandays Close.

Q1. Do you support the aim of creating a family orientated, sustainable neighbourhood?



Q2. Do you think the overall layout of the new houses is in keeping with the area?




Initial consultation drop-in exhibition at the Embankment, 27th November 2012




We asked participants what they would like to see on the new streets in the scheme. Out of 24 respondents 75% wanted to see on-street parking spaces. 58% wanted to see street trees. Less people showed a desire for shared space or home-zone type streets. There were also some suggestions made about the character of the streets which included; drives ways for each house; improvements to existing houses front gardens; provision of garden space to allow new residents to grow their own food; and for some houses to have internal garages.

Parking was an issue which split the respondents. 50% of respondents completely agreed or agreed that one on street parking space per new house would suffice. 50% were unsure or disagreed with the proposals and felt there should be more parking available. Residents were concerned that their parking spaces would no longer be available. The flats on the site have been vacant and so onstreet parking has been readily available for neighbours. Some residents suggested introducing a permit system which would mean each neighbouring household was entitled to at least one parking space close to their home.

Q3. What type of character would you like to see on the new streets?



Q4. Do you agree with the proposal for one onstreet parking space per new house?




4 > Key Findings

With regards to the type of housing respondents would prefer to see in the development, the first choice was Green Street. Respondents also chose Park Lane as their first and second choice. Temple Quay was most people's last choice.

Some participants also addressed concerns about the style of housing because it was not in keeping with the 1960s/1970s style housing currently on the site. The design team responded to these comments by explaining that there was a mix of housing styles in the Meadows, including Victorian terraces, which provided a more structured urban form, to which our proposals has responded.

A participant had concerns about the social rented and affordable units on the scheme. Members of Asra Housing Group re-assured the particular resident that they would be managing the houses and had systems in place to make sure tenants maintained properties etc.

Q5. Which character of housing do you prefer?











...an excellent development which will improve the area.

...designing in green measures to increase sustainability are important, along with safe communal areas.

...I am worried about the 3-storey housing dominating views from the embankment. Housing here should be 2-storey like the houses on either side of the site.

...I want to continue to be able park my car outside my property.

...Parking is going to be a big issue, at present it can be difficult to find a space, with new homes it can only get worse..

...I prefer pitched roofs.
The flat roofs on Green
Street require much more
maintenance and there are
problems with leaks already.

P&H 4 > Key Findings

Public Exhibition June 2013

In total there were 30 questionnaire responses collected with 26 being filled in at the events and a further 4 being filled in online or posted back to URBED's freepost address.

There were slightly more female responses with 62% of the respondents being female and fewer men responding.

The age ranges were very varied. There were fewer 18-25 year olds at the events, but we did attract an older demographic than at previous events with some respondents being over 75. The responses were mainly from 46-55 year olds.

Over 95% of respondents lived in the area, so we can be certain that those who know the area the best have given their views and opinions on the scheme which is due to go into planning. There was also a good percentage of people responding who worked in the area.


It is our opinion that the events allowed a representative group of people to voice their opinions on the scheme.

Pre-App consultation drop-in exhibition at The One Stop Shop, 5th June 2013




Key Demographic Information


Gender




Age



Respondents who live in the area:



Respondents who work in the area:




4 > Key Findings


The proposals for the sites have been well received by the public at the events. Over 80% of people asked were supportive of the scheme. Most people spoke about how the area saw the scheme as a kick-start to regeneration. There were some comments about integrating with the wider area and making sure that the development does not become ghettoised.

The respondents were split on their thinking about the scheme's layout. Whist 57% felt that it was in-keeping with area and made positive comments, 23% of respondents were unsure and 17% felt it didn't fit in with the area. Of these people, most of the comments were that there were too many houses and that their should be more semi-detached properties available. There were also comments about the lack of single-person dwellings.

Q1. Do you support the aim of creating a family orientated, sustainable neighbourhood?



Q2. Do you think the overall layout of the new houses is in keeping with the area?




Pre-App consultation drop-in exhibition at The Embankment, 5th June 2013



As parking had been such a big issue at the last consultation we showed a plan to give an idea to the public how many spaces would be available. The plan had been updated to show the off-street parking spaces, which had come about since the initial consultation when the public made clear that they wanted to see more drive-ways for people to park their cars in. The results were still mixed but more people were happy with the amount of parking being provided. There were still some unsure about the proposals. This will be a difficult issue to understand until the proposals are built and an assessment can be carried out of the parking habits.

We also provided sketch plans of each of the house types. The majority of people preferred the larger types - A and C. People were less keen on R3 and S3, some of the smaller types. This indicated people want bigger properties. We asked people if they were interested in buying properties and of the 37% who said they are thinking about buying a house, 62% would consider buying one in this development. We have passed on the details of these people to Blueprint. We also asked people if they were interested in renting, and the details of those people have been passed on to Asra Housing Group. We also asked if people would be interested in buying a plot of land to build their own house and 21% would be, 8% were unsure and 71% would not be interested. This shows that there is some interest in self-build in the area.

Q3. There will be 65 houses with an off-street parking space. There will also be an additional 21 parking spaces created on the streets proposed within the scheme. Do you agree with this strategy for parking?





4 > Key Findings

At the initial consultation event we ran a competition for people to "name the scheme". People wrote suggestions on forms (please see appendix). The names that were suggested are below:


- > Revive
- > The River Meadows
- > Phoenix
- > Riverside Views
- > E-LIVE
- > Riverside Meadows
- > The Haven
- > Wilford Embankment
- > Toll Bridge Court
- > Southfield View
- > Eco-bankment
- > Victoria Triangle
- > Riverview
- > The Triangle
- > Hobart Triangle

We then picked the 4 best names for people to vote on at the pre-application consultation in June. The 4 names were put up on the project blog as well as being displayed at the consultation events with stickers for people to vote on the names. People were invited to suggest different names if they didn't like the ones proposed. The 4 names which were voted are below. The number after each refers to the amount of votes received, both online and at events:

- > The River Meadows 4
- > Southfield View 5
- > Wilford Embankment 4
- > Riverside Views 7

There were also some more suggestions including; Bathley Street, Napoleon Close; Maclennan Close; New Meadows; and a few people who felt that none of them were right and that it shouldn't have a separate identity from the Meadows. The person who suggested the winning name, Riverside Views, has been informed and has won £25 in supermarket vouchers.

A screen shot of the poll carried out on the project website



...There are families living and working in the Meadows who would be ideal tenants for this area.

...not happy with the fact that there is no properties being built for single people or any incentive to keep single people in the Meadows.

...nice views overlooking the embankment.

...green space should be parking space for existing houses on pitcairn close. ...Type A is attractive but no access from house to garage, it's a shame if garage is used for other purposes, other than accommodating a car.

...mix and match style is a big problem, worried about appearance and the new development sticking out, should of taken out pitcairn as well.

...if parking problem use a permit scheme.

A selection of comments made at the pre-application consultation event on 5th June 2013

P&H 5 > Conclusions

The consultation provided useful comments and observations by local residents. This section summarises the two main consultation events and concentrates on some of the main changes that were made during the development of the scheme.

Generally people were supportive of the initial proposals. Issues brought up were concerned with the availability of on-street parking and the inclusion of drive-ways. People also wanted to see more planting and trees on the roads to soften the streets, but in general most people thought the layout was in-keeping with the area.

People were given several different types of contemporary housing to choose from and the majority said they preferred the housing on Green Street. This is a positive finding, as the scheme is being designed as a progression of the Green Street scheme, which was undertaken by the same team. We have monitored how energy efficiency measures have impacted on Green Street-resident's comfort levels to see what we can improve on, for this scheme and this will allow us to evolve our strategy to produce better developments, rather than producing identikit, sub-standard houses

Car parking was an important issue which came out at the initial consultation. The team developed and revisited this part of the design over several months and worked to increase the number of drive ways so that the majority of new residents would have an off-street parking space, reducing demand for on-street parking spaces. Whilst this satisfied most of the respondents there are still a number of people unsure about this and it is an issue which will need to be monitored once the development is built.

There were also some comments about the street layout and the possibility of increasing connections. This had been thought about during the development of the application scheme. Unfortunately linking through to Sandays Close from Hobart Close is not possible due to the topography and services here. However, pedestrian access has been improved from Pitcarin Close, which provides pedestrian access to both Oxbow Close and Sandays Close.

Linking to the terraced streets is also not possible as the land is outside the developers remit.

Some negative comments were received at the preapplication consultation with regards to the number of units being increased from 70 to 74, and there was a perception that this had been done purely for profit. The number of units was initially worked out on draft house types and during the design development in-between consultations more work was done to develop the most efficient site layout and design development on the house types. We have reduced this number now to 73 units in total.

At the pre-application consultation there were a good deal of people interested in buying and renting properties which is good sign for the proposals.





2 visualisations produced for the consultation to show people what the streets will look like

6 > Comment Responses

The main issues raised at the public consultation have been outlined in the following table. Comments that have been made by 2 or more of the respondents have been documented, along with the measures that have been taken in the final revised masterplan, where suitable to deal with these issues.



Area	Key Issues Raised	Frequency	Alleviation Measure
Housing	The houses should		The housing has been designed to last, with the majority of
	last a long time		houses meeting the lifetime homes standards. They are designed
			to Code for Sustainable Homes level 4 and meet an EPC A-rating.
	There should also be		The brief set by Nottingham City Council was for family housing.
	some one bedroom		The design has some smaller properties, albeit 2 bedroom ones.
	properties		There is an equal split between 2, 3 and 4 bedroom properties to
			ensure that a mixture of types of households is achieved.
	The masterplan layout		The amount of housing was initially 70 units. Through design
	is over-crowded		development of the masterplan layout and house types, this was
			increased to 73 units. Although this does not alleviate the issue
			raised, in fact it contradicts it, the team decided that in order
			to meet the Council's local housing requirements, this was a
			viable step. It was also agreed by the design team that in order
			to achieve a sustainable development a higher density would be
			most appropriate, especially given the abundance of green open
			space to the south of the site. Whilst these proposals may seem
			over-crowded, they are actually a lower density than the terraced
			streets east of the site. The houses have also been carefully
			designed to maximise space by using land most efficiently, setting
			a precedent for more sustainable densities in the Meadows.
	The housing design is		The consortium developer is keen to regenerate the Meadows
	not in-keeping with		and wants to lift the appearance of the area. The house type
	surrounding area		design is a progression of what has been achieved at Green
			Street, a development which has been generally well received by
			the local community and architectural press.

A screen grab of the facebook page created for the development at Pitcairn and Hobart Close




Area	Key Issues Raised	Frequency	Alleviation Measure
Housing	3 storeys properties		Whilst we understand that the views from the Embankment
	are too big		are important to Nottingham's Castle, we feel that the 3 storey
			townhouses will enhance this vista, as well as giving the new
			residents superb views of the Trent.
Social	Local Schools are		There is no room on these sites for a school. Also schools are
	already full		not a priority in this area. The Meadows Neighbourhood Plan
			specifically states that family housing is a priority. The developer
			will be negotiating with Nottingham City Council about a Section
			106 contribution which can help fund extra school places
			elsewhere in the area.
	Existing Meadows		The rented properties, which are being managed by Asra Housing
	residents should have		Group will assess each application on its merits but is looking at
	priority to live in the		prioritising existing Meadows residents who want to live here to
	new houses		maintain social cohesion in the area.
	Families should be		The main aim of the proposal has always been to create a family-
	encouraged to live in		orientated scheme. This has been demonstrated through the
	the Meadows		types of houses, being 2, 3 and 4 bedroom properties as well as
			details of the housing layout. The landscape around the houses
			will also be family-friendly with landscaped areas to encourage
			children's play.

7 > Comment Responses



Area	Key Issues Raised	Frequency	Alleviation Measure
Public	There will not be		This was a complicated issue which was discussed throughout
Realm	enough parking for		the consultation process and development of the masterplan.
	existing residents		The issue was brought up by neighbouring residents who
			currently parked on the streets surrounding the scheme. They
			felt that with the new development, more residents would mean
			more cars, and so more competition for parking on the street.
			To alleviate this perception, the masterplan was updated so
			the majority of new houses had driveways. Where this was not
			possible, on-street bays were designed for these properties. As
			new residents have designated parking, it reduces the chance
			that they will have to park on the street. In addition to this, the
			scheme will provide a number of on-street bays, and these can
			be used by neighbouring residents to further relieve pressure on
			parking.
	There should be		This was thought about during the design process. The team felt
	a parking permit		that as most of the new houses contained a driveway providing
	scheme		off-street parking, the issue of over-demand for on-street
			parking had been alleviated. It was also felt that without any
			evidence of this being needed the developer would not provide
			the money necessary for Nottingham City Council to fund a
			parking permit scheme, but instead re-look at issue once the
			development had been built and lived for a number of months.
			At the consultation events, in response to neighbours existing
			concerns about parking, the team recommended they speak to
			the council about imposing a scheme, but it was believed that
			this was a separate issue to the proposed development.

A screen grab of the project specific website created for the proposals



Area	Key Issues Raised	Frequency	Alleviation Measure
Public	The fenced off grassed		The area of grass on Hobart close is outside the developer's
Realm	area should become		boundary for the scheme. This means it is outside of our remit to
	parking		propose development on this area. The owner of the grassed off
			area on Hobart Close is unknown and as such we cannot move
			forward with these suggestions.
Transport	Concern about extra		A Traffic Assessment has been carried out by Travis Baker, as part
	traffic on the roads		of the planning application which details issues with traffic on the
			site.
	The development		Unfortunately linking through to Sandays Close is not possible
	should connect more		from Hobart Close due to the topography of the site and site
	to the surrounding		boundaries. Linking to the terraced streets is also not possible as
	streets		the land is outside the developers remit.
			However the layout of the masterplan has been developed to
			increase pedestrian permeability within the site, and pedestrian
			access to Oxbow Close and Sanday's Close will be provided at
			the end of Pictairn Close.



P&H 7 > Appendices

> Newsletter Nov 2012	32
> Distribution Area Nov 2012	34
> Consultation Boards Nov 2012	35
> Name the Scheme form	37
> Questionnaire Nov 2012	38
> Full Results Nov 2012	40
> Newsletter May 2013	49
> Distribution Area June 2013	51
> Consultation Boards June 2012	52
> Questionnaire June 2013	53
> Full Results June 2013	55

Newsletter Nov 2012

November 2012 Pitcairn and Hobart Newsletter

p&h

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Nottingham City Council have in the last couple of weeks appointed a developer to redevelop the 'Cross Wall Flats' on Pitcairn Close and Hobart Close in the Meadows. This is in line with the Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Meadows and the 84 one bed flats will be replaced with 70 family homes.

The developer is a consortium including the builders William Davis, ASRA Housing Association and Blueprint, who have developed the Green Street scheme in the Meadows.

There is an opportunity to comment on the proposals on Tuesday 27th November from 12-3pm at the Bridgeway Shopping Centre and from 4-7pm at the Embankment.

Representatives of the developers, their design team and the council will be on hand to answer questions.



Public Consultation Events:

Exhibition 1 Exhibition 2 Date: Tuesday 27th November Date: Tuesday 27th November Time: 12pm-3pm Time: 5pm-7pm Location: The Meadows ocation: The Embankment (Entrance off Bathley **One Stop Shop** 282-284 Arkwright S 5-7 Bridgeway Centre The Meadows Trent Bridge West Bridgford Nottingham NG2 2JD Nottingham NG2 2GR

Details of the scheme, together with an online questionnaire are also available at http://www.urbed.coop/projects/pitcairn-and-hobart

For further enquiries please contact **Sangeetha** at URBED: 0161 200 5500 - sangeetha@urbed.coop



Our Proposal:

The scheme includes 70 new homes: 19 four bed houses, 39 three beds and 12 two beds. 31 of the homes will be for sale and another 21 for affordable rent with the rest being a mix of shared ownership and shared equity. The scheme will be an evolution of the Green Street development in terms of its design.


Most of the flats on the site are now empty and the council will be demolishing them early in the new year. The team is hoping to be able to submit a planning application at the end of January and to start on site in the Spring.

As part of the bid the developers have agreed to create a number of employment, apprenticeship and work experience opportunities for local people and if you are interested you should contact the Council's Employer Hub - by phone: 0115 8764 508 or email: employer. hub@nottinghamcity.gov.uk

What to Expect:


Our consultation events will provide further information on:

- > Transport connections
- > Public space
- > Housing mix and density
- > Housetypes
- > Tenure and affordable housing
- > Building for life and Lifetime homes
- > Parking
- > Materials





Distribution Area Nov 2012



Consultation Boards Nov 2012








Name the Scheme form

Come up with a name for the new development in the Meadows and be in with the chance of winning £25 in supermarket vouchers.



The prize will go to the best suggestion in the judge's opinion.

Name		 	
Address		 	
Email		 	
Phone number	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	 	
Your name suggestion		 	
•			

Like us on Facebook and follow us on Twitter to find out who's won! www.facebook.com/PandHthemeadows www.twitter.com/PandHthemeadows

Terms and Conditions:

The following Terms and Conditions shall apply to this Competition.

This Competition is open to UK residents aged 18 or over.

Entrants must first complete the entry form slip No purchase is necessary to enter this Competition

The prize will consist of either of the following, which the winner can choose from: £25 Asda vouchers, £25 Tesco vouchers, £25 Sainsbury's rouchers

The maximum possible total prize fund for this competition is £25.

If the advertised model is no longer available at the time when the prize is awarded, or for any other reason or in any other circumstance, we may, at our discretion, substitute the cash equivalent or a model of equal or greater value. The winner will be selected on Wednesday 28th November 2012 and notified by email or telephone within 96 hours.

The winner most claim his/her prize within 14 days of being notified. Failure to do so will result in the prize being forfeited. The developers may at their discretion choose to name all or part or none of the development based on your suggestion.

Questionnaire Nov 2012



PUBLIC CONSULTATION QUESTIONNAIRE

Please take a look at the proposal on the exhibition boards for new housing in the Pitcairn & Hobart area. Please let us know your views by completing this questionnaire. If you have any queries please ask one of the consultancy team who will be happy to answer any questions.

Q1. Do you support the aim of creating	ng a family orientated, sustainable neighbourhood?
Strongly support	Comments:
Support	
Do not support Strongly do not support	
Undecided	
Q2. Do you think the overall layout of	f the new houses is in keeping with the area?
Yes Unsure	No Comments:
	<u> </u>
	_ U
Q3. What kind of character would you	ou like to see on the new streets?
· ·	
Street Trees Home Zones (family safe)	Comments:
Cycle paths	
Shared spaces	
On-street parking	
Q4. Do you agree with the proposal fo	for one on-street parking space per new house?
Agree Unsure	Disagree Comments:
	· · ·
Q5. Which character of housing do yo	·
Please rank in order of preference-1 being fa	favourite and 4 being least favourite
Green Street P	Park Lane Temple Quay Eastern Gateway













Perso	onal data					p & h
Q6.	Gender Male Female Prefer not to say	Q7.	Age	Under 18 18-25 26-35 36-45	☐ 46-55 ☐ 56-65 ☐ 65-75 ☐ Over 75	Pitcairn & Hobart
Q8.	Do you live in the ar	ea?				
	Yes No	If yes, ple	ase give	e your post cod	de:	
Q9.	Do you work in the	area?				
	Yes No	If yes, ple	ase give	e your workpla	ce's name and a	ddress: .
Q10.	If you are filling this	in on beh	alf of ar	n organisation	or group, please	give their details below:
If you v	would like to be kept	informed (on the p	progress of the	proposal please	eave your details below:

The exhibition boards, questionnaire and other documents are available to view at www.pitcairnandhobart.wordpress.com

Postal address

If you would like more information please contact Emily Crompton on 0161 200 5500 or email emily@urbed.com

THANK YOU FOR COMING TODAY AND LETTING US KNOW YOUR VIEWS!

Please place your completed questionnaire in the box or return by posting it back to us free of charge at: FREEPOST, URBED, 10 Little Lever Street, M1 1HR

Full Results Nov 2012, from survey monkey

Pitcairn + Hobart



1. Do you support the aim of creating a family orientated, sustainable neighbourho				
	Response Percent	Response Count		
Strongly support	36.0%	9		
Support	44.0%	11		
Do not support	8.0%	2		
Strongly do not support	8.0%	2		
Undecided	4.0%	1		
	Comments	14		
	answered question	25		
	skipped question	0		

	Q1. Do you support the aim of creating a family orientated, sustainable neighb	ournoou.
1	The local schools are already full And having more houses in this area just makes the schooling situation far worse then before	May 30, 2013 11:45
2	A family-orientated neighbourhood should also have some one bedroom houses, there are plenty of single people that need houses that work, who would like to get on the property ladder.	Feb 14, 2013 10:32
3	I support the idea as long as the family's do not rely on government handouts but they try to fund the housing themselves. This would help them buy into the neighbourhood.	Feb 14, 2013 10:26
4	Yes, designing in green measures to increase sustainability are important, along with safe communal areas.	Jan 4, 2013 5:20 P
5	In theory yes but as long as local facilities & infrastructure are available	Dec 2, 2012 5:24 P
6	Housing seems to need replacing here. Family orientated is good. Sustainable? What does this word mean?	Nov 29, 2012 1:03 F
7	I do not support it.	Nov 29, 2012 12:50
8	I have concerns over house design, trees, traffic on the site and vehicular access and distribution.	Nov 29, 2012 12:36
9	Difficult to argue against this. Overall plans seem good. I would potentially be interested in buying - a sign that they seem attractive.	Nov 29, 2012 12:28
10	I think it is important to demonstrate that modern, low energy sustainable living is available to all.	Nov 29, 2012 12:26
11	Mixed communities make for a long term attractive neighbourhood and instill a sense of personal responsibility.	Nov 29, 2012 12:10
12	I would prefer to see another architect working on a project in the meadows.	Nov 29, 2012 12:06
40	Excellent development which will improve the area.	Nov 29, 2012 12:04
13	·	

2. Do you think the overall layout of the new houses is in keeping with the area? Response Response Percent Count 7 28.0% Somewhat 32.0% 8 16.0% 4 Unsure Not really 12.0% 3 No 12.0% 3 Comments 10 answered question 25 0 skipped question

Page 1,	Q2. Do you think the overall layout of the new houses is in keeping with the a	rea?
1	The houses are not designed for a 2012 family in mind, the old terrace houses are far greater bigger then these ones! Will your houses last longer as the 1900 terrace house? Don't think they will	May 30, 2013 11:45 PM
2	No. All the Houses are semi-detatched in this area, not town houses.	Feb 14, 2013 10:32 AM
3	The layout looks to be overcrowded.	Feb 14, 2013 10:26 AM
4	The opportunity should be taken to improve links across the neighbourhood and a residential link road between Pitcairn Close and Sandays Close to allow a local bus through the area would improve connectivity.	Jan 4, 2013 5:20 PM
5	This side of the river is as good as the other and maybe even better as it is so close to town and is well overdue the recognition.	Dec 10, 2012 10:57 AM
6	I disagree with the layout. It is a departure from the surrounding housing and the layout seems a little crammed. I am worried about the 3-storey housing dominating views from the embankment. Housing here should be 2-storey like the houses on either side. As it is also on high ground, I have concerns about the road abutting the park.	Nov 29, 2012 1:03 PM
7	The older houses will lose value compared to the new houses.	Nov 29, 2012 12:50 PM
8	It should follow a similar layout to the existing area.	Nov 29, 2012 12:44 PM
9	The layout is OK but I am unsure about the design of the houses.	Nov 29, 2012 12:36 PM
10	Not a reservation, just not certain of what would be "in keeping".	Nov 29, 2012 12:26 PM

3. What type of character would you like to see on the new streets? (Please tick all that apply)

	Response Percent	Response Count
Street trees	58.3%	14
Home Zones (family safe zones)	37.5%	9
Cycle paths	41.7%	10
Shared spaces	45.8%	11
On-street parking	75.0%	18
	Other (please specify)	14

answered question	24
skipped question	1

Page 1	Q3. What type of character would you like to see on the new streets? (Please	tick all that apply)
1	Own drive ways	May 30, 2013 11:45 PM
2	Grassed areas with trees for the houses already there. Parking permits per household as there is a problem with parking already.	Feb 14, 2013 10:32 AM
3	Would like to see it with less small alleyways where elicit dealing can not take place. Also the present housing's car parking spaces need to be taken into account. Houses need gardens.	Feb 14, 2013 10:26 AM
4	Provision of garden space which allows residents to improve sustainability through self-grown food / communal growing spaces. Inclusion of microgeneration installations as part of the new build.	Jan 4, 2013 5:20 PM
5	I'd like to see them all but I would give priority to on-street parking as I think there might not be enough parking otherwise.	Dec 2, 2012 5:24 PM
6	Off-street parking.	Nov 29, 2012 1:03 PM
7	I want to continue to be able park my car outside my property. I also rent out a house near Hobart Close (number 28) and want to make sure they retain their on street parking space as well.	Nov 29, 2012 12:55 PM
8	The proposed housing looks too modern and are not in keeping with the surrounding houses.	Nov 29, 2012 12:50 PM
9	I would like the development to look like the surrounding area.	Nov 29, 2012 12:44 PM
10	I would prefer the design of the houses was not too modern.	Nov 29, 2012 12:36 PM
11	Some trees in the area need cutting back as they are so overgrown they detract from views to and from neighbouring properties.	Nov 29, 2012 12:16 PM
12	A safe pedestrian environment will attract new households.	Nov 29, 2012 12:10 PM
13	I live in the area. I am concerned that there will not be enough parking spaces. We are a 2 car household (25 Pitcairn Close) and we do not have off-road parking.	Nov 29, 2012 12:02 PM
14	Parking is a real issue. The road is narrow and parking is particularly bad at evenings and weekends. I will need a driveway in my property as the current lay-by I use at the moment will be moved according to the proposals.	Nov 29, 2012 11:58 AM

4. Do you agree with the proposal for one on-street parking space per new house?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Completely Agree	33.3%	8
Agree	16.7%	4
Unsure	29.2%	7
Disagree	8.3%	2
Totally Disagree	12.5%	3
	Comments	12
	answered question	24
	skipped question	1

1	Strongly agree there should definitely be one on-street parking space per household.	Feb 14, 2013 10:32
2	Parking is going to be a big issue, At present it can be difficult to find a space with new homes. It can only get worse.	Feb 14, 2013 10:26
3	With moves to limit car use in Nottingham and good public transport provision in the area this would appear to be too generous.	Jan 4, 2013 5:20 P
4	It sounds ok but in the old meadows (terraces) there is one on-street parking space per house & this doesn't seem to be enough - especially if you are trying to attract more affluent home-owners who might have more than one car per house - & what about visitors?	Dec 2, 2012 5:24 F
5	There is no parking scheme in place at the moment.	Nov 29, 2012 1:03 I
6	Space for visitors should be allowed for.	Nov 29, 2012 12:44
7	Parking is a big issue which needs addressing. One space isn't sufficient or flexible in the long term.	Nov 29, 2012 12:36
8	I would support the provision of an off street parking space for each dwelling. That is a good feature of phase 2 and 3 of the Green Street scheme. Less good for phase 1, where they have garages but quite a few don't.	Nov 29, 2012 12:26
9	Parking permits should be introduced as otherwise Wilford Crescent West will get very busy with parked cars.	Nov 29, 2012 12:16
10	Cars should not be allowed to dominate precious space. They should be 'background' not 'foreground'.	Nov 29, 2012 12:10
11	I am concerned about parking restrictions.	Nov 29, 2012 12:02
12	This should be backed up by a permit scheme.	Nov 29, 2012 11:58

5. Please take a look at the images of houses on the website in the consultation section. Which character of housing do you prefer? Please rank in order of preference- 1 being favourite and 4 being least favourite

	1	2	3	4	Rating Count
Green Street	64.7% (11)	5.9% (1)	5.9% (1)	23.5% (4)	17
Park Lane	52.9% (9)	23.5% (4)	17.6% (3)	5.9% (1)	17
Temple Quay	23.1% (3)	15.4% (2)	15.4% (2)	46.2% (6)	13
Eastern Gateway	23.1% (3)	23.1% (3)	30.8% (4)	23.1% (3)	13
				Comments	5
			ans	wered question	23
			sk	ipped question	2

Page 1, Q5. Please take a look at the images of houses on the website in the consultation section. Which character of housing do you prefer? Please rank in order of preference- 1 being favourite and 4 being least favourite		
1	This view of Green Street presents a fortress like appearance.	Jan 4, 2013 5:20 PM
2	Although I really like the Green Street design, I very strongly do NOT want 3-storey properties opposite where I live so I would definitely vote for the 2-storey options even though I don't like them as much.	Dec 2, 2012 5:24 PM
3	Too much wood needs frequent treatment	Dec 1, 2012 10:18 AM
4	Difficult to tell without more info and experience of visiting them.	Nov 29, 2012 12:28 PM
5	I prefer pitched roofs. The flat roofs on Green Street will require much more maintenance and there are problems with leaks already.	Nov 29, 2012 12:26 PM

7. Age

	Response Percent	Response Count
Under 18	0.0%	0
18-25	8.0%	2
26-35	20.0%	5
36-45	16.0%	4
46-55	36.0%	9
56-65	8.0%	2
65-75	12.0%	3
Over 75	0.0%	0
	answered question	25
	skipped question	0

8. Do you live in the area?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	91.7%	22
No	8.3%	2
	If yes, please give your postcode	20
	answered question	24
	skipped question	1

Page 2,	Q8. Do you live in the area?	
1	ng2 1ld	Apr 4, 2013 3:58 PM
2	NG2 2FT	Feb 14, 2013 10:33 AM
3	NG2 2FY	Feb 14, 2013 10:27 AM
4	NG2 1NX	Jan 4, 2013 5:22 PM
5	NG2 2EA	Jan 2, 2013 3:48 PM
6	NG2 2FW	Dec 10, 2012 10:58 AM
7	NG2 2 FT	Dec 2, 2012 5:36 PM
8	NG2 2ER	Dec 1, 2012 10:19 AM
9	NG2 2FW	Nov 29, 2012 12:56 PM
10	NG2 2FY	Nov 29, 2012 12:52 PM
11	NG2 2FY	Nov 29, 2012 12:51 PM
12	NG2 2EJ	Nov 29, 2012 12:45 PM
13	NG2 2FD	Nov 29, 2012 12:31 PM
14	NG2 2AB	Nov 29, 2012 12:27 PM
15	NG2 2FT	Nov 29, 2012 12:16 PM
16	NG2 2AB	Nov 29, 2012 12:11 PM
17	NG2 2FY	Nov 29, 2012 12:03 PM
18	NG2 2FS	Nov 29, 2012 12:00 PM
19	NG1 4G2	Nov 29, 2012 11:48 AM
20	NG2 2FB	Nov 29, 2012 11:46 AM

9. Do you work in the area

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	33.3%	7
No	66.7%	14

If yes, please give your business name and address

answered question	21
skipped question	4

Page 2, Q9. Do you work in the area			
1	Meadows Partnership Trust Bridgeway Centre Meadows Nottingham ng2 2jd	Apr 4, 2013 3:58 PM	
2	HMRC, Castle Meadow Road, Nottingham	Jan 4, 2013 5:22 PM	
3	NCT Bus Depot, Bathley Street	Nov 29, 2012 12:56 PM	
4	County Council	Nov 29, 2012 12:51 PM	
5	Bridgeway Sandwich Bar	Nov 29, 2012 12:06 PM	
6	Trent University	Nov 29, 2012 11:48 AM	

10. If you are filling this in on behalf of an organisation or group please give their details

Response Count

2

answered question	2
skipped question	23

Page 2, Q10. If you are filling this in on behalf of an organisation or group please give their details

1	New Meadows Tenant's and Resident's Association	Jan 4, 2013 5:22 PM
2	Not doing this on behalf of the Old Meadows Tenants Residents Association (OMTRA) but I'm the treasurer. we have public meetings 4 times a year (Feb/May/Aug/Nov) so may be able to have you present at our meetings.	Nov 29, 2012 12:31 PM

Pitcairn and Hobart Newsletter

May 2013

p&h

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

Back in November we held two public consultations to get your views and comments on our initial ideas for new housing in the Meadows.

Overall we received positive feedback on the scheme and have been making some minor changes over the past few months having listened to your comments.

We would like to invite you to view the updated proposals. This will give you the opportunity to provide us with any final comments you have before a planning application is submitted to the council.

We will be holding two sessions on Wednesday 5th June in the local area. Representatives of the developers, their design team and the council will be on hand to answer any questions you may have.



Wednesday 5th June



Exhibition 1 1pm-3pm

The Meadows One Stop Shop Bridgeway Centre The Meadows Nottingham NG2 2JD



Exhibition 2

4-7pm

The Embankment (Entrance of Bathley St) 282-284 Arkwright St Trent Bridge Nottingham NG2 2GR



please visit www.pitcairnandhobart.wordpress.com for more details





About us:

The team is a consortium including builders William Davis, ASRA Housing Group and Blueprint, who have previously developed the Green Street scheme in the Meadows.

The proposal:

The scheme includes 74 new homes with a mix of 2, 3 and 4 bedroom houses. 34 of the homes will be for sale and another 22 for affordable rent with the rest being a mix of shared ownership and shared equity.

Changes:

Overall we received positive feedback on our proposals for new housing in the area. We have taken the comments you made at the last session on board and have amended the proposal to reflect this feedback.

The sessions will provide more detail on the updated scheme and in particular the housing designs, which have been drawn up by our architects.

We hope to see you there!

Alternatively, If you are unable to attend the consultation events you can view the proposals online and fill out a questionnaire to let us know what you think:

- Visit our blog for the site: www.pitcairnandhobart.wordpress.com
- Follow the links to view the updated proposals and fill out an online questionnaire.

Name the scheme:


At the last consultation, we asked you to come up with a new name for the scheme. Below is the four shortlisted choices:

The River Meadows
Wilford Embankment
Southfield View

Riverside Views


We will be asking you to vote for your favourite choice on the day and the one with the most votes will be announced as the new name for the scheme!

The Planning Process:



For further enquiries please contact **Sangeetha** at URBED: 0161 200 5500 - sangeetha@urbed.coop

Distribution Area June 2013



Consultation Board June 2013



Tell us what you think!

Please take a look at our proposals for the new housing development on Pitcairn Close and Hobart Close and answer the questions below. If you have any queries, please ask one of the consultancy team who will be happy to help. Thank you for your feedback!




1. Do you support the aim of creating a family orientated, sustainable neighbourhood? Please tick one. Strongly support Support Do not support Strongly do not support Undecided	parking space. There parking spaces create within the scheme. D for parking? Please ti Yes this is fir No, less park	
Comments:	Comments:	
2. Do you think the housing layout is in keeping with the area? Please tick one.	prefer? Please see the	wing housetypes do you e boards for the plans.
Yes Unsure No Comments:		□ R1□ R2□ R3□ R4□ R5□ Unsure

5. Are you thinking of buying a house?	6. Are you thinking of renting a house?	
○ Yes ○ Unsure ○ No	Yes Unsure No	
5a. If yes, would you consider buying one in this development? 6a. If yes, would you consider renting development?		
○ Yes ○ Unsure ○ No	Yes Unsure No	
5b. How many bedrooms would you like a new house to have?	6b. How many bedrooms would you like a rented house to have?	
1 2 3 4	1 2 3 4	
	Comments:	
7. Would you be interested in buying a plot of land to self build your own house?		
Yes Unsure No		
Personal Information	Do you live in the area?	
The information is for monitoring purposes only.	Yes, please provide your postcode:	
Gender		
Female Male Prefer not to say	○ No	
What is you age?	Do you work in the area?	
Under 18	Yes, please provide the name and postcode of your work place:	
○ 18-25○ 56-65○ 26-35○ 65-75	posteode of your work place.	
36-45 Over 75	O No.	
	○ No	
If you would like to be kept informed	What to do next?	
of the progress of the proposals please leave your details below:	Please place your completed survey in the box or post it back to us free of charge at: FREEPOST, URBED, 10 Little Lever Street, M1 1HR	
Name:		
Email address:	Visit our blog:	
	www.pitcairnandhobart.wordpress.com	
Address/ Telephone:	Contact us:	
*	emily@urbed.coop or 0161 200 5500	
	Feel free to take surveys for friends and family living in the area!	
	We will be accepting comments until 28th June 2013.	

Full Results June 2013, from survey monkey

Pitcairn and Hobart Pre-Application Consultation Survey





Page 1,	Q1. Do you support the aim of creating a family orientated, sustainable neighb	oourhood?
1	There are many families already in the community living in unsuitable accommodation, so prioritising these families should be the first aim. There are also families living and working in the Meadows who would be ideal tenants for this area.	Jun 13, 2013 11:35 AM
2	as long as it helps the rest of the meadows. it needs a bus route through pitcairn to the cul de sac, old meadows. these are big expectations on the process needs to be managed well	Jun 12, 2013 12:51 PM
3	yes i agree, but i think there are far too many houses in the proposed scheme	Jun 12, 2013 12:44 PM
4	families should be encouraged in the meadows	Jun 12, 2013 11:57 AM
5	we need to see more community police on the paths	Jun 12, 2013 11:00 AM
6	please put a full sized fence at the end of barnsley terrace, a long one rear/near to the self build houses ASAP	Jun 12, 2013 10:31 AM
7	problems at downsizing. no 1 bedrooms, but they were there before. bedroom tax problems.	Jun 11, 2013 2:45 PM
8	what about the single people that are settled in the area, there is nothing being built for us. i am not happy at the fact that there is no option to be able to return back to the new properties once built.	Jun 11, 2013 2:15 PM
9	prefer to keep name on battley street	Jun 11, 2013 2:08 PM
10	mix and match a big problem, worried about apperance and the new development stcking out, should of taken out pitcairn as well	Jun 11, 2013 2:06 PM
11	please request for there to be no access through barnsley terrace etc as has been suggested	Jun 11, 2013 1:24 PM
12	yes it is good to see well insulated and soundly constructed houses in keeping with the Meadows original character	Jun 11, 2013 1:11 PM

2. Do you think the overall layout of the new houses is in keeping with the area?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	56.7%	17
Somewhat	0.0%	0
Unsure	23.3%	7
Not really	3.3%	1
No	16.7%	5
	Comments	10
	answered question	30
	skipped question	0

2 r 3 r 4 g ii 5 p 6 ti 7 r	needs to intergrate with the wider meadows area. dont want it too ghettoish the new development. links are needed through to the terraces really dont like the idea of paths. rat runs create more drug dealing nice views overlooking the embankment glad its still the same. want to keep privacy and security. keep it tidy. we'd like the area to be kept clean	Jun 12, 2013 12:51 PM Jun 12, 2013 12:44 PM Jun 12, 2013 11:57 AM Jun 12, 2013 11:00 AM
3 r 4 g ii 5 p 6 t 7 r	nice views overlooking the embankment glad its still the same. want to keep privacy and security. keep it tidy. we'd	Jun 12, 2013 11:57 AM
4 gii 5 p 6 ti	glad its still the same. want to keep privacy and security. keep it tidy. we'd	<u>'</u>
5 p 6 tl 7 r		Jun 12, 2013 11:00 AM
6 t		
7 r	please dont create an access route thru barnsley terrace	Jun 12, 2013 10:31 AM
-	they should all be semi attached	Jun 11, 2013 2:45 PM
C	not happy with the fact that there is no properties being built for single people or any incentive to keep single people in the Meadows	Jun 11, 2013 2:15 PM
	all going to be mix and match. Not happy about the 3 storeys either - lose views	Jun 11, 2013 2:06 PM
	Please ensure the wall at the end of the terrace is rebuilt to the height of the exsisting wall and a fence put on it to be like the one already there.	Jun 11, 2013 1:47 PM
10 s		Jun 11, 2013 1:11 PM

3. There will be 65 houses with an off-street parking space. There will also be an additional 21 parking spaces created on the streets proposed within the scheme. Do you agree with this strategy for parking? Please tick one.

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes this is fine	43.3%	13
No, less parking should be provided	3.3%	1
No, more parking should be provided	20.0%	6
Unsure	33.3%	10
	Comments	13
	answered question	30
	skipped question	0

Page 1, Q3. There will be 65 houses with an off-street parking space. There will also be an additional 21 parking spaces created on the streets proposed within the scheme. Do you agree with this strategy for parking? Please tick one.


parking	? Please tick one.	
1	Most family houses own more than one car + visitors. I hope there will not be a permit system.	Jun 13, 2013 12:39 PM
2	NOT INTERESTED	Jun 12, 2013 12:51 PM
3	would we be able to park there too? as i live on Oxbaw Close	Jun 12, 2013 12:44 PM
4	we currently live in green street and there could be more parking, but more car parking obviously takes up more space and maybe less attractive - so we are unsure	Jun 12, 2013 12:10 PM
5	if parking problem use a permit scheme	Jun 12, 2013 11:57 AM
6	unsure wheter there will be enough parking spaces. could the fenced grassed area between hobart and pitcairn be converted to parking spaces?	Jun 12, 2013 11:29 AM
7	there is a lot of fighting for parking spaces. too many cars	Jun 12, 2013 11:00 AM
8	Wilford cresentwould like permit parking	Jun 11, 2013 2:45 PM
9	parking for residence on the side alley of hobart and pitcairn. would like the green area in the front to be made into a parking area. its very much needed	Jun 11, 2013 2:41 PM
10	green space should be parking space for exsisting houses on pitcairn close	Jun 11, 2013 2:06 PM
11	if there is not enough parking for everbody, why not have a house or two less and turn it into a car park. some people need there car for work	Jun 11, 2013 1:43 PM
12	I live between Hobart and Pitcairn Close. i am worried that there will not be enough spaces for us to park, once the new houses are built. Perhaps more spaces could be found on the green area between hobart and pitcairn.	Jun 11, 2013 1:29 PM
13	most families have at least one car, so parking provisions are important	Jun 11, 2013 1:11 PM

4. 4. Please take a look at the boards for the detailed plans of each housetype. Which of the housetypes do you prefer?

	Response Percent	Response Count
А	34.6%	9
С	26.9%	7
S1	15.4%	4
S2	11.5%	3
S3	7.7%	2
S4	7.7%	2
R1	11.5%	3
R2	15.4%	4
R3	7.7%	2
R4	11.5%	3
R5	11.5%	3
Unsure	61.5%	16
	Comments	8
	answered question	26
		_

answered question	26
skipped question	4


•	Page 1, Q4. 4. Please take a look at the boards for the detailed plans of each housetype. Which of the housetypes do you prefer?		
1	I cannot see from here!	Jun 13, 2013 1:44 PM	
2	NOT INTERESTED	Jun 12, 2013 12:51 PM	
3	i like all the designs	Jun 12, 2013 11:35 AM	
4	not keen on the indoor parking	Jun 11, 2013 2:41 PM	
5	very difficult to decide, when there are no measurements on the plans. also the garage on A looks very tight. is there enough space to get out of a car.	Jun 11, 2013 2:24 PM	
6	not big enough and i dont like the 3storeys	Jun 11, 2013 2:06 PM	
7	dont like ensuite in the 4th bedroom. its better to have a wc shower room as in R5. kitchen and dining should be together.	Jun 11, 2013 1:43 PM	
8	Type A is attractive but no access from house to garage.its a shame if garage is used for other purposes, other than accomadating a car.	Jun 11, 2013 1:11 PM	





7. How many bedrooms would you like a new house to have?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
1-bedroom	0.0%	0
2-bedrooms	25.0%	4
3-bedrooms	31.3%	5
4-bedrooms	43.8%	7
	answered question	16
	skipped question	14

8. Are you thinking of rentir	ng a house?		
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		13.8%	4
Unsure		6.9%	2
No		79.3%	23
		answered question	29
		skipped question	1



10. How many bedrooms would you like a rented house to have?			
	Response Percent	Response Count	
1-bedroom	0.0%	0	
2-bedrooms	44.4%	4	
3-bedrooms	55.6%	5	
4-bedrooms	0.0%	0	
	answered question	9	
	skipped question	21	

11. Would you be interested in buying a plot of land to self build your own house?			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		20.8%	5
Unsure		8.3%	2
No		70.8%	17
		Comments	4
		answered question	24
		skipped question	6

1	Not interested in buying/selling but bigger picture	Jun 12, 2013 12:51 PM
2	i own my house so the questions dont apply to me	Jun 12, 2013 12:44 PM
3	house types are too small and too clinical. only interested in the front ones on the riverside	Jun 11, 2013 2:06 PM
4	would you please send me application / reserve a 3/ 4 bedroom house. 93 Wilford Cr West Nottinghamm NG2 2FT	Jun 3, 2013 3:25 PM

12. GenderResponse PercentResponse CountMale37.9%11Female62.1%18answered question29skipped question1

13. Age		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Under 18	0.0%	0
18-25	3.7%	1
26-35	11.1%	3
36-45	18.5%	5
46-55	33.3%	9
56-65	11.1%	3
65-75	11.1%	3
Over 75	11.1%	3
	answered question	27
	skipped question	3

14. Do you live in the area?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	96.3%	26
No	3.7%	1

If yes, please give your postcode

21

answered question	27
skipped question	3

Page 2,	Q14. Do you live in the area?	
1	NG2 1LD	Jun 13, 2013 11:38 AM
2	NG2 2NN	Jun 12, 2013 12:45 PM
3	NG2 2FB	Jun 12, 2013 12:40 PM
4	NG2 2AB	Jun 12, 2013 12:17 PM
5	NG2 2JZ	Jun 12, 2013 12:04 PM
6	NG2 2EU	Jun 12, 2013 11:45 AM
7	NG2 2FY	Jun 12, 2013 11:29 AM
8	NG2 2 FD	Jun 12, 2013 11:09 AM
9	NG2 2NN	Jun 12, 2013 11:01 AM
10	NG2 2FJ	Jun 12, 2013 10:32 AM
11	NG2 2ER	Jun 12, 2013 10:25 AM
12	NG2 2 FT	Jun 11, 2013 2:55 PM
13	NG2 2FU	Jun 11, 2013 2:53 PM
14	NG2 2FY	Jun 11, 2013 2:43 PM
15	NG2 1HE	Jun 11, 2013 2:26 PM
16	NG2 1QD	Jun 11, 2013 2:17 PM
17	NG2 2FT	Jun 11, 2013 2:10 PM
18	NG2 2AB	Jun 11, 2013 1:44 PM
19	NG2 2FY	Jun 11, 2013 1:30 PM
20	NG2 2FL	Jun 11, 2013 1:25 PM
21	NG2 2AB	Jun 11, 2013 1:12 PM

15. Do you work in the area		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	43.5%	10
No	56.5%	13
	If yes, please give your business name and address	12
	answered question	23
	skipped question	7

Page 2,	Page 2, Q15. Do you work in the area		
1	Lee Sunderland Employment Adviser Meadows Partnership Trust 5-7 Bridgeway Centre The Meadows Nottingham NG2 2JD	Jun 13, 2013 11:38 AM	
2	Vice Chair of the residents association	Jun 12, 2013 12:52 PM	
3	NG2 2AB	Jun 12, 2013 12:17 PM	
4	retired	Jun 12, 2013 11:45 AM	
5	Nottingham city	Jun 12, 2013 11:09 AM	
6	Castle Mona	Jun 12, 2013 11:01 AM	
7	NG2 2JD	Jun 11, 2013 2:49 PM	
8	city council	Jun 11, 2013 2:43 PM	
9	councillor	Jun 11, 2013 2:37 PM	
10	RETIRED	Jun 11, 2013 2:26 PM	
11	Shae Shae's Daycare	Jun 11, 2013 2:17 PM	
12	retired	Jun 11, 2013 1:48 PM	

16. If you are filling this in on behalf of an organisation or group please give their details	
	Response Count
	0
answered question	0
skipped question	30

